UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE SIXTH CIRCUIT

Deborah S. Hunt Clerk 100 EAST FIFTH STREET, ROOM 540 POTTER STEWART U.S. COURTHOUSE CINCINNATI, OHIO 45202-3988

Tel. (513) 564-7000 www.ca6.uscourts.gov

Filed: November 02, 2012

Mr. Thomas William Cranmer Mr. Matthew F. Leitman Miller Canfield 840 W. Long Lake Road, Suite 200 Troy, MI 48098

Ms. Katherine D. Goudie Mr. Daniel B. Tukel Butzel Long 150 W. Jefferson Avenue, Suite 100 Detroit, MI 48226

Mr. Mark Frederick Horning Jeffrey M. Theodore Steptoe & Johnson 1330 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20036

Ms. Kathleen Helen Klaus Maddin, Hauser, Wartell, Roth & Heller 28400 Northwestern Highway, Third Floor Southfield, MI 48034

Mr. Marshall David Lasser Law Office of Marshall Lasser 20100 Civic Center Drive Suite 309, P.O. Box 2579 Southfield, MI 48037

Mr. Michael Francis Smith 1747 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Suite 300 Washington, DC 20006 Mr. Jeffrey T. Stewart Seikaly & Stewart 30300 Northwestern Highway, Suite 200 Farmington Hills, MI 48334

Re: Case No. 10-1453, *Jackson, et al v. Segwick, et al* Originating Case No. : 09-11529

Dear Counsel,

The court today announced its decision in the above-styled case.

Enclosed is a copy of the court's opinion together with the judgment which has been entered in conformity with Rule 36, Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure.

Yours very truly,

Deborah S. Hunt, Clerk

Cathryn Lovely Deputy Clerk

cc: Mr. David J. Weaver

Enclosures

Mandate to issue.

FILED Nov 02, 2012 DEBORAH S. HUNT, Clerk

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SIXTH CIRCUIT

No. 10-1453

CLIFTON E. JACKSON; CHRISTOPHER M. SCHARNITZKE, on behalf of themselves and all other persons similarly situated,

Plaintiffs - Appellants,

v.

SEGWICK CLAIMS MANAGEMENT SERVICES, INC.; COCA-COLA ENTERPRISES, INC., foreign corporations; DR. PAUL DROUILLARD, jointly and severally,

Defendants - Appellees.

Before: BATCHELDER, Chief Judge; GUY and MOORE, Circuit Judges.

JUDGMENT

On Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan at Detroit.

THIS CAUSE was heard on the record from the district court and was argued by counsel.

IN CONSIDERATION WHEREOF, it is ORDERED that the judgment of the district court is REVERSED, and the case is REMANDED for further proceedings consistent with the opinion of this court.

ENTERED BY ORDER OF THE COURT

Deborah S. Hunt, Clerk